

# Program Report for the Initial Preparation of Middle Level Teachers

## Association for Middle Level Education (AMLE)

### Option A

**All Young Adolescents:** The middle level standards interpret “all young adolescents” to be inclusive, comprising students of diverse ethnicity, race, language, religion, socioeconomic status, gender, sexual orientation, family composition, regional or geographic origin, and those with exceptional learning needs.

**Middle Level:** The grade levels included in “middle level” are determined by middle level teacher licensure regulations in each state, for example grades 4-9, 5-8, 6-9.

NCATE approved the AMLE Standards in 2012. Beginning in Spring 2015, programs submitting reports must use the 2012 standards.

#### COVER SHEET

1. **Institution Name**

---

2. **State**

---

3. **Date submitted**

MM DD YYYY

/  /

4. **Report Preparer's Information:**

Name of Preparer:	
<hr/>	
Phone:	Ext.
( ) -	
E-mail:	
<hr/>	

5. **CAEP Coordinator's Information:**

Name:	
<hr/>	
Phone:	Ext.
( ) -	
E-mail:	
<hr/>	

6. **Name of institution's program**

---

7. **CAEP Category**

8. Grade levels<sup>(1)</sup> for which candidates are being prepared

(1) e.g. 7-9, 5-8

9. Program Type

- First Teaching License
- Non-licensure/non-certification degree

10. Degree or award level

- Baccalaureate
- Post Baccalaureate
- Master's
- Post Master's
- Endorsement only

11. Is this program offered at more than one site?

- Yes
- No

12. If your answer is "yes" to above question, list the sites at which the program is offered

13. Title of the state license for which candidates are prepared

14. Program report status:

- Initial Review
- Response to One of the Following Decisions: Further Development Required or Recognition with Probation
- Response to National Recognition With Conditions

15. Is your Educator Preparation Provider (EPP) seeking

- CAEP accreditation for the first time (initial accreditation)
- Continuing CAEP accreditation

16. State Licensure data requirement on program completers disaggregated by specialty area with sub-area scores: CAEP requires programs to provide completer performance data on state licensure examinations for completers who take the examination for the content field, if the state has a licensure testing requirement. Test information and data must be reported in Section IV. Does your state require such a test?

- Yes
- No

## SECTION I - CONTEXT

1. Descriptions of any state or institutional policies that may influence the application of AMLE standards. (Response limited to 4,000 characters)

2. Descriptions of middle level field and clinical experiences required for the program, including the number of hours for early field experiences and the number of hours/weeks for student teaching or internships. (Response limited to 8,000 characters)

3. A program of study that outlines the courses and experiences required for candidates to complete the program. The program of study must include course titles. (This information may be provided as an attachment from the college catalog or as a student advisement sheet.)

A [LINK](#) to upload or manage your uploaded file(s)

4. This system will not permit you to include tables or graphics in text fields. Therefore any tables or charts must be attached as files here. The title of the file should clearly indicate the content of the file. Word documents, pdf files, and other commonly used file formats are acceptable.

A [LINK](#) to upload or manage your uploaded file(s)

5. **Candidate Information**

**Directions:** Provide three years of data on candidates enrolled in the program and completing the program, beginning with the most recent academic year for which numbers have been tabulated. Report the data separately for the levels/tracks (e.g., baccalaureate, post-baccalaureate, alternate routes, master's, doctorate) being addressed in this report. Data must also be reported separately for programs offered at multiple sites. Update academic years (column 1) as appropriate for your data span. Create additional tables as necessary.

Program:		
Academic Year	# of Candidates Enrolled in the Program	# of Program Completers <sup>(2)</sup>

(2) CAEP uses the Title II definition for program completers. Program completers are persons who have met all the requirements of a state-approved teacher preparation program. Program completers include all those who are documented as having met such requirements. Documentation may take the form of a degree, institutional certificate, program credential, transcript, or other written proof of having met the program's requirements.

6. **Faculty Information**

**Directions:** Complete the following information for each faculty member responsible for professional coursework, clinical supervision, or administration in this program. (Please refer to the footnotes for clarification)

<b>Faculty Member Name</b>	
<b>Highest Degree, Field, &amp; University<sup>(3)</sup></b>	
<b>Assignment: Indicate the role of the faculty member<sup>(4)</sup></b>	
<b>Faculty Rank<sup>(5)</sup></b>	
<b>Tenure Track</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> YES
<b>Scholarship<sup>(6)</sup>, Leadership in Professional Associations, and Service<sup>(7)</sup>: List up to 3 major contributions in the past 3 years<sup>(8)</sup></b>	
<b>Teaching or other professional experience in P-12 schools<sup>(9)</sup></b>	

(3) For example, PhD in Curriculum & Instruction, University of Nebraska.

(4) For example, faculty, clinical supervisor, department chair, administrator

(5) For example, professor, associate professor, assistant professor, adjunct professor, instructor

(6) Scholarship is defined by CAEP as a systematic inquiry into the areas related to teaching, learning, and the education of teachers and other school personnel.

Scholarship includes traditional research and publication as well as the rigorous and systematic study of pedagogy, and the application of current research findings in new settings. Scholarship further presupposes submission of one's work for professional review and evaluation.

(7) Service includes faculty contributions to college or university activities, schools, communities, and professional associations in ways that are consistent with the institution and unit's mission.

(8) For example, officer of a state or national association, article published in a specific journal, and an evaluation of a local school program.

(9) Briefly describe the nature of recent experience in P-12 schools (e.g. clinical supervision, in-service training, teaching in a PDS) indicating the discipline and grade level of the assignment(s). List current P-12 licensure or certification(s) held, if any.

## SECTION II - LIST OF ASSESSMENTS

In this section, list the 6-8 assessments that are being submitted as evidence for meeting the AMLE standards. All programs must provide





Middle level teacher candidates demonstrate their ability to motivate all young adolescents and facilitate their learning through a wide variety of developmentally responsive materials and resources (e.g., technology, manipulative materials, information literacy skills, contemporary media). They establish equitable, caring, and productive learning environments for all young adolescents.

5. **Standard 5: Middle Level Professional Roles**

#1 #2 #3 #4 #5 #6 #7 #8

**Middle level teacher candidates understand their complex roles as teachers of young adolescents. They engage in practices and behaviors that develop their competence as middle level professionals. They are informed advocates for young adolescents and middle level education, and work successfully with colleagues, families, community agencies, and community members. Middle level teacher candidates demonstrate positive dispositions and engage in ethical professional behaviors.**

*Element a. Professional Roles of Middle Level Teachers:*

Middle level teacher candidates understand, reflect on, and are successful in their unique roles as middle level professionals (e.g., members of teaching teams and advisors to young adolescents).

*Element b. Advocacy for Young Adolescents and Developmentally Responsive Schooling Practices:*

Middle level teacher candidates serve as advocates for all young adolescents and for developmentally responsive schooling practices. They are informed advocates for effective middle level educational practices and policies, and use their professional leadership responsibilities to create equitable opportunities for all young adolescents in order to maximize their students' learning.

*Element c. Working with Family Members and Community Involvement:*

Middle level teacher candidates understand and value the ways diverse family structures and cultural backgrounds influence and enrich learning. They communicate and collaborate with all family members and community partners, and participate in school and community activities. They engage in practices that build positive, collaborative relationships with families from diverse cultures and backgrounds (e.g., race, ethnicity, culture, age, appearance, ability, sexual orientation, socioeconomic status, family composition).

*Element d. Dispositions and Professional Behaviors:*

Middle level teacher candidates demonstrate positive orientations toward teaching young adolescents and model high standards of ethical behavior and professional competence. They are continuous, collaborative learners who demonstrate knowledgeable, reflective, critical perspectives on their teaching.

#1	#2	#3	#4	#5	#6	#7	#8

**SECTION IV - EVIDENCE FOR MEETING STANDARDS**

**DIRECTIONS:** The 6-8 key assessments listed in Section II must be documented and discussed in Section IV. Taken as a whole, the assessments must demonstrate candidate mastery of the SPA standards. The key assessments should be required of all candidates. Assessments, scoring guides/rubrics and data charts should be aligned with the SPA standards. This means that the concepts in the SPA standards should be apparent in the assessments and in the scoring guides/rubrics to the same depth, breadth, and specificity as in the SPA standards. Data tables should also be aligned with the SPA standards. The data should be presented, in general, at the same level it is collected. For example, if a rubric collects data on 10 elements [each relating to specific SPA standard(s)], then the data chart should report the data on each of the elements rather than reporting a cumulative score.

In the description of each assessment below, the SPA has identified potential assessments that would be appropriate. Assessments have been organized into the following three areas to be aligned with the elements in CAEP's Standard 1:

- Content knowledge (Assessments 1 and 2)
- Pedagogical and professional knowledge, skills and dispositions (Assessments 3 and 4)
- Focus on student learning (Assessment 5)

Note that in some disciplines, content knowledge may include or be inextricable from professional knowledge. If this is the case, assessments that combine content and professional knowledge may be considered "content knowledge" assessments for the purpose of this report.

For each assessment, the compiler should prepare one document that includes the following items:

- (1) A two-page narrative that includes the following:
  - a. A brief description of the assessment and its use in the program (one sentence may be sufficient);
  - b. A description of how this assessment specifically aligns with the standards it is cited for in Section III. Cite SPA standards by number, title, and/or standard wording.
  - c. A brief analysis of the data findings;
  - d. An interpretation of how that data provides evidence for meeting standards, indicating the specific SPA standards by number, title, and/or standard wording;
 and
- (2) Assessment Documentation
  - e. The assessment tool itself or a rich description of the assessment (often the directions given to candidates);
  - f. The scoring guide/rubric for the assessment; and

g. Charts that provide candidate data derived from the assessment.

The responses for e, f, and g (above) should be limited to the equivalent of five text pages each, however in some cases assessment instruments or scoring guides/rubrics may go beyond five pages.

Note: As much as possible, combine all of the files for one assessment into a single file. That is, create one file for Assessment #4 that includes the two-page narrative (items a – d above), the assessment itself (item e above), the scoring guide (item f above, and the data chart (item g above). Each attachment should be no larger than 2 mb. Do not include candidate work or syllabi. There is a limit of 20 attachments for the entire report so it is crucial that you combine files as much as possible.

1. **State licensure tests or professional examinations of content knowledge. If your state does not require licensure tests or professional examinations in the content area, data from another assessment must be presented to document candidate attainment of content knowledge. (Required)**

**Provide assessment information as outlined in the directions for Section IV**

A [LINK](#) to upload or manage your uploaded file(s)

2. **Assessment of content knowledge in middle childhood education. AMLE standards addressed in this entry could include but are not limited to Standard 2. For post-baccalaureate teacher preparation, include an assessment used to determine that candidates have adequate content background in the subject to be taught.**

**Provide assessment information as outlined in the directions for Section IV.**

A [LINK](#) to upload or manage your uploaded file(s)

3. **Assessment that demonstrates candidates can effectively plan and implement appropriate teaching and learning experiences. AMLE standards that could be addressed in this assessment include but are not limited to Standard 4. Examples of assessments include the evaluation of candidates' abilities to develop lesson or unit plans, individualized educational plans, needs assessments, or intervention plans. (Answer Required)**

**Provide assessment information as outlined in the directions for Section IV**

A [LINK](#) to upload or manage your uploaded file(s)

4. **Assessment that demonstrates candidates' knowledge, skills, and dispositions are applied effectively in practice. AMLE standards that could be addressed in this assessment include but are not limited to Standards 1-5. An assessment instrument used in student teaching or an internship should be submitted. (Answer Required)**

**Provide assessment information as outlined in the directions for Section IV**

A [LINK](#) to upload or manage your uploaded file(s)

5. **Assessment that demonstrates candidate effects on student learning. AMLE standards that could be addressed in this assessment include but are not limited to Standard 4. Examples of assessments include those based on student work samples, portfolio tasks, case studies, and follow-up studies. (Answer Required)**

**Provide assessment information as outlined in the directions for Section IV**

A [LINK](#) to upload or manage your uploaded file(s)

6. **Additional assessment that addresses AMLE standards. All AMLE standards could be addressed by this assessment. Examples of assessments include evaluations of field experiences, case studies, portfolio tasks, and follow-up studies. (Answer Required)**

**Provide assessment information as outlined in the directions for Section IV**

A [LINK](#) to upload or manage your uploaded file(s)

7. **Additional assessment that addresses AMLE standards. All AMLE standards could be addressed by this assessment. Examples of assessments include evaluations of field experiences, case studies, portfolio tasks, and follow-up studies.**

**Provide assessment information as outlined in the directions for Section IV**

A [LINK](#) to upload or manage your uploaded file(s)

8. **Additional assessment that addresses AMLE standards. All AMLE standards could be addressed by this assessment. Examples of assessments include evaluations of field experiences, case studies, portfolio tasks, and follow-up studies.**

**Provide assessment information as outlined in the directions for Section IV**

A [LINK](#) to upload or manage your uploaded file(s)

- 1. Evidence must be presented in this section that assessment results have been analyzed and have been or will be used to improve candidate performance and strengthen the program. This description should not link improvements to individual assessments but, rather, it should summarize principal findings from the evidence, the faculty's interpretation of those findings, and changes made in (or planned for) the program as a result. Describe the steps program faculty has taken to use information from assessments for improvement of both candidate performance and the program. This information should be organized around (1) content knowledge, (2) professional and pedagogical knowledge, skill, and dispositions, and (3) student learning.**

**(Response limited to 12,000 characters)**

## **SECTION VI - FOR REVISED REPORTS OR RESPONSE TO CONDITIONS REPORTS ONLY**

- 1. For Revised Reports: Describe what changes or additions have been made to address the standards that were not met in the original submission. Provide new responses to questions and/or new documents to verify the changes described in this section. Specific instructions for preparing a Revised Report are available on the CAEP website at <http://caepnet.org/accreditation/caep-accreditation/spa-program-review-policies-and-procedur>**

**For Response to Conditions Reports: Describe what changes or additions have been made to address the conditions cited in the original recognition report. Provide new responses to questions and/or new documents to verify the changes described in this section. Specific instructions for preparing a Response to Conditions Report are available on the CAEP website at <http://caepnet.org/accreditation/caep-accreditation/spa-program-review-policies-and-procedur>**

**(Response limited to 24,000 characters.)**

**Please click "Next"**

This is the end of the report. Please click "Next" to proceed.